



# **Morphology Operations**

#### Dilation, Erosion, Opening, Closing, Boundary Extraction

ECE 5772, Robert McInerney Oakland University, Professor Llamocca

## Morphology

- Morphology operations are commonly used in image processing
- They can be used to remove noise and even extract boundaries of images
- Morphology is done using a structuring element on each pixel of a grayscale image
- This project was done using a raster scan approach, afterwards it is checked using matlab



## Morphology

- Dilation (max value in SE matrix) (adds pixels from borders)
- Erosion (min value in SE matrix) (removes pixels from borders)
- Opening (erosion followed by dilation) (used for removing small lines)
- Closing (dilation followed by erosion)(used for filling small holes
- Boundary extraction inner (original image erosion)
- Boundary extraction outer (Dilation original image)



2	3	5	6	13	7	
7	6	8	3	1	2	
5	2	5	6	8	5	
7	9	3	$\bigcirc$	1	4	
8	27	12	0	5	6	
49	31	17	6	3	9	
	Ch					

#### Program Flow

- Main Program Flow
- Input Arguments t, image\_sel, SE\_size, thread count
  - T = 1 dilation
  - O T = 2 Erosion
  - T = 3 Opening
  - T = 4 Closing
  - T = 5 Inner boundary extraction
  - T = 6 Outer Boundary Extraction



#### **Parallelization Notes**

#### • TBB

- Nested Parallel\_for operations were used for looping through pixels in the x and y direction
- Pthreads
  - Pthreads were launched and then the program waited for all threads to finish
  - Depending on the amount of threads requested each thread would perform x amount of columns of the image
- Some cases required more than one operation (ex. Opening Dilation followed by erosion)



- In the case of mountains TBB took longer than performing a sequential operation
- This was the smallest image
- Image 1 Mountains 600 x 400
- Thread count of 5 was used for comparison



- Image 2 was slightly bigger than image 1 and we started to see TBB and sequential become about the same amount of time
- Image 2 uchip 940 x 602
- Thread count of 5 was used for comparison



- Image 3 was much larger than the other two images and we can start to see much larger gains over the sequential approach
- Image 3 Buildings 3472 x 2315
- Thread count of 5 was used for comparison



- Image 4 was the largest image and we see similar results of a large gain from TBB
- Image 4 Rose 5168 x 4000
- Thread count of 5 was used for comparison



#### Parallelization Strategies Based on Device

- Device Comparison for various parallelization methods
- DE2i-150 vs AMD Ryzen 7 3700X



#### Timing based on Pixel Count

- 4 images were used
  - o Image 1 Mountains 600 x 400
  - o Image 2 uchip 940 x 602
  - O Image 3 Buildings 3472 x 2315
  - o Image 4 Rose 5168 x 4000



#### Timing based on Pixel Count

- 4 images were used
  - o Image 1 Mountains 600 x 400
  - o Image 2 uchip 940 x 602
  - O Image 3 Buildings 3472 x 2315
  - o Image 4 Rose 5168 x 4000



#### Comparing Structural Element Changes(SE)

 When changing the structural element to a disk of size 2 to a disk of size 1 we see an increase in execution time.



#### Matlab Check

- Matlab is used in order to validate the data. A difference compare is used to determine if any pixels are different from the matlab implementation and the code implementation
- Matlab is also used to create the raster scan grayscale image from a jpg image



#### Demo

```
robert@robert-Cedar-Trail-Client-platform:~$ ./morpho 6 4 2 50
(read_binfile) Input binary file 'uchip.bif': # of elements read = 20672000
(read binfile) Size of each element: 1 bytes
(read binfile) Input binary file 'uchip.bif': # of elements read = 20672000
(read binfile) Size of each element: 1 bytes
(write binfile) Output binary file 'Outputtbb.bof': # of elements written = 2067
2000
(read binfile) Size of each element: 1 bytes
(write_binfile) Output binary file 'Outputp.bof': # of elements written = 206720
00
(read_binfile) Size of each element: 1 bytes
Sequential Approach:
       start: 570433 us end: 111196 us
       Elapsed time (actual computation): 21540763 us
TBB Approach:
       start: 372095 us end: 536789 us
       Elapsed time (actual computation): 11164694 us
Pthread Approach:
       start: 536790 us end: 609965 us
        Elapsed time (actual computation): 8073175 us
robert@robert-Cedar-Trail-Client-pl<u>atform:~$</u>
```

#### Conclusions

- On the pc where more resources were available I was able to see larger gains from the TBB algorithms
- Larger pixel counts led to higher gains in parallelization
- Increasing the SE to a higher value increased execution time due to needing to do more searching for the min/max pixels. This was not impacted greatly by parallelization strategies as each thread had to loop through additional kernel indexes.